(Police)

File No 5—02—

Sl 1—6.

Enclosed

M.K. Jaleel

Communicated

petition from Mohammad Khasim, late police Dafedar of the Bowringpet Taluk.

Pd. 7.5-02

Ref — Letter No 659/46 dated 20th August 02 from the Inspector General of Police submitting for orders, an appeal petition from Mahomed Khasim, late Police Daffedar of the Rowriguet Taluk, praying that he may be re-instated in his appointment, which he resigned under the circumstances stated by him.

The facts of the petitioners case are stated in detail in the Inspector General's letter. The Inspector General concludes by stating that "since the Duffedar has resigned his post, undersigned is not prepared

prepared to recommend a re-consideration of his case.

Submitted for orders.

22/8/02 Does the Petr. ~~Captain~~ Appeal against his original conviction also? If so, what are the grounds urged?

23.8.02

Called
Petr.

In his order Confirming the reduction of the Petitioners the Dy. Commr. states that by his own showing the petitioner received report on the 23rd November 1901 and did not send in his occurrence report till the 28th November 1901. The Petitioner in his appeal petition states that the Padel of Kulavaiyil village reported the case of mischief to certain plants on the 23rd November 1901, and that as the case was not one that could be taken Cognizance of by the Police, he allowed the

× (Copy enclosed)

× Vide paras 4 & 5 of the petn.

the report to his diary of the 23rd November 1901. He further stated that on the 27th November 1901, as per orders of the Range Jemadar on his diary of the 23rd idem, he took the case on his file; and that as the case was investigated by the Jemadar of the Madamanaga station from beginning to end, he is not responsible for any delay which might have occured, & that therefore his reduction is groundless.

Submitted for orders

25/6/02 This seems a case for some consideration.

If, as stated by Fcl. [illegible] he had attached the report in the present case to his diary of the 23rd Nov. 1901, but failed to send in an occurrence report till the 28th idem under a mistaken belief as to [illegible] being enquired into, then his reduction to the ranks does not seem to be unreasonable, seems to have been [illegible] severe a punishment.

Again, I have [illegible] the Dt. Sup[erintendent] letter Dt. [illegible] that this [illegible]

prepared to examine into cases
applied for by the Petr. The
Dy Com.r seems, however, to have left
have issued an order that he
would be dismissed as a
deserter if he did not join
within the prescribed period.
The desire to avoid dismissal,
& certain domestic bereavements,
seem to have induced the Petr.
to ~~[illegible]~~ act that; if leave
could not be granted, his
application might be treated
as a resignation. In so acting,
his action ~~[illegible]~~ seems to have been
~~[illegible]~~ due partly to pressure
of external circumstances.

Sd/ Guild Frances. 25.8.02

Please call for a detailed
report on all the Daffedar's
allegations, with original rec
Cords.

27/8/1902

17-12

Draft/Mb

Vpp

Office note continued—

In reply to the enquiry
made as directed above,

the

the Inspector General of Police submits the entire records of the case and touches briefly on some of the allegations of the petitioner as follows:—

Secretariat letter No 4074 dt 21/10/93 246

1. As regards the petitioner's transfer from Kamasamudram, he states that it will be seen from the report of Inspector Ball dated 27th November 1891 & the Dy. Comrs order dated 8-12-91 that the Duffedar's conduct at Kamasamudram was far from satisfactory and that there were strong grounds to suspect that he having confined three persons to extort something from them. He was therefore transferred to the Ghat tree Station.

2. As regards the grant of Gold Fields allowance, he states that while working at the Ghat tree Station, the petitioner applied twice to the Police Supdt for the grant of the allowance, but was told that he had no

claim

claim for it, ~~and~~ that if he ~~to~~ was not satisfied with his appointment, he might resign and that his resignation would be willingly accepted.

3. As regards the petitioners reduction and transfer the Inspector General States that from the judgment of the Special Magistrate, Kolar Gold Fields, in case No. 497 on his file and the minute drawn up by the Police Supdt., it will be seen that the Duffedar was guilty of neglect of duty and was therefore reduced to the post of 1st class Constable & that the Dy. Comrs to whom the Petitioner appealed Confirmed the order.

4. With reference to the question of granting leave to the Petitioner, the Inspector General has already reported in para 5 of his letter No. 659/16 dated 20th August 1902, that he transferred a

a petition received from 7
the Mahomed Kassim & the
Dy. Comr with the remark that
there appeared no objection
to grant leave on forfeiture
of pay, and again intimated the
same opinion ~~on~~ in reply to ~~another~~
communication from the Dy Comr
recommending that the leave
applied for by Mahomed Kasim
may be refused.

In conclusion the Inspector
General states that the
petitioner's conduct has
been throughout far from
satisfactory; that he
has entirely lost ~~the~~ of
the confidence of his officials
superiors, and that he
is not prepared to recom-
-mend ~~the~~ a re-considera-
-tion of his case.

Submitted for orders.

11/2/03

Submitted
11/2/03

In the light of the further papers
there seems to be
no reason to interfere
in the matter
of the petitioner's complaints.
12.2.03

especially against the opinion

expressed by the I.G. of Police that the man is not one in whose behalf a recommendation for reconsideration can be made. A draft end[t] rejecting the petn & refusing to interfere is submitted for approval

25/2/03

The Dewan

R.D. 27.2.03

26/2/03

0317

Please see also remarks on endorsement

27.II.03

Put up clean copy of End[t] avoiding the alterations remarked on by the Dewan. 2-3-03

Draft & fair put up -

25/2/03

SECTION Police

FILE No. 5-02

S[illegible] No. 1

1902-03

No. 53.

Name of Petitioner Mahamad Kassim

Village late Daffedar residing at

Taluk Malur

District Kolar

Date of Arzi 3.7.02

Date of Receipt 5.7.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 9

Sub'd

May this be sent to the Inspector Genl. of Police

7/7/02

Put up for orders through the D.I. of Police 11/7/02

Com. petn. Read 14/7/02 Issued 7-7-902

ABSTRACT

States that he was police Daffedar incharge of the Kariyandra Station Bowringpet Taluk; that he was transferred subsequently to Ghauts Force Station (Gold fields); that his prayer for gold field's allowance was refused to be granted; that he was subsequently reduced to 1st class Constable and transferred to

MGCPB—4,000—31-1-02

3

1902/1903

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To



THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 5-02

S[illegible] No. 2

Dated 20th

Despatched 21st August 1902

Received

General No. 659

No. 46

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submitting for orders of Government an appeal petition dated the 18th July 1902 with its enclosures from Mahomed Khan late Police Daffadar of the Bowringpet Taluq.

HGCPE—5-3-01-1000

Filed with a note.
22/8/02

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. Dated 2a.th August, 1902.

Enc.

From

C. Madiah Esqr., Rao Bahadur,

~~THE~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr., M.A., M.L.

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government an appeal petition dated the 18th July 1902, with its enclosures, from Mahomed Khasim, late Police Duffedar of the Bowringpet Taluq.—

2. The following are the facts of his case as gathered from the petition and the orders attached thereto;—

That

That he was a Police Duffedar at 5
the Kamasamudram Station in the
Bowringpet Taluq,-

(2) that for neglect of duty, in con-
nection with a case of mischief to
certain plants, he was first transfer-
-red to the Gold Fields and then re-
duced to the post of a constable,

(3) that after this, he applied
for a month's privilege leave and
appealed to the Deputy Commissioner
against the order of reduction which
was confirmed,

(4) that he — then appealed
to the Inspector General of Police —
through his departmental Superiors,
but no orders were passed in his —
case before his leave expired,

(5) that he then applied for 2
months' leave without pay on account
of

of his illness, and that this was refused to him and he was given order to the effect that if he did not join duty within the prescribed period, he would be dismissed as a deserter;

(6) that in the meanwhile he lost his wife and 2 children.

(7) and that owing to the receipt of the order mentioned in paras(5) and his family bereavements mentioned in (6) he repeated his application for leave without pay with the alternative that if the leave cannot be granted, the application might be accepted as his resignation.—This resignation was accepted —

3 His appeal petition to this office was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District for report with the records. The report

report and the records were received with the Deputy Commissioners C. M. no 1160 of the 25th june 1902 and they were returned to him with this office no: 11/2 of the 1st july 1902 without any final orders on them as the appellant had been stated to have since resigned the service in Superintendent's memo no: 349 of the 27th june 1902

4. He then submitted an appeal petition to the Dewan on the 3rd july 1902, and it was returned to him on the 14th july 1902, with the endorsement that it should be forwarded through the Inspector General of Police. He has now sent that petition with another one addressed to the Inspector General of Police praying that his appeal may be forwarded to the Dewan and that as his resignation was not tendered voluntarily but done owing to the fear of dismissal after a service of

of 10 years and to the sorrow consequent on the loss of his wife and children, he may be given his post.

5 A petition was received in this office from the appellant Mahomed Khasim stating that he was reduced and that the Deputy Commissioner refused to grant him leave without pay.— The was transwarded to the Deputy Commissioner with this office no: 5417/288 of the 2nd June 1902, and with the remark that there seems to be no objection to grant leave on forfeiture of full pay.— Again on another reference from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, no: C. M. 1131/En 2 of the 19th June 1902, recommending that the leave applied for by Duffedar Mahomed Khasim may be refused, it was ordered in this office no: 5844/322 of the 21st

21st June 1902, that there could be no objection to the grant of leave without pay.

6. Since the Duffedar has re-signed his post, undersigned is not prepared to recommend a re-consideration of his case.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

your most obedient Servan

Inspector General of Police

C/S.

B

To.

The Inspector
General of Police
in Mysore

SECTION Police
FILE NO. 2-02
SERIAL No. 3

Dated 28/8/02
No. 2856 / J266. one file

The Inspector
General's letter No
689 dated 20th
August 1902, submitting
for orders an appeal
petition from Mahomed
Khasim, late Police
Daffedar of the Bow-
-ringpet Taluk, praying
for reinstatement
in Service.

In returning the
enclosures received
with the above, has
the honour to request
that the Inspector
General

General will be so good as to ~~forward~~ forward a detailed report on all the Defendants allegations with the original records for the order of Court.

By order

Sd/-

(Sd/- [illegible])

for Secretary
27/8/02

No: 4074
2 46

SECTION— Police
FILE No. Inspector General 2-02

Office of the 12
Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore, 21st January 1903.

file of record



From,

C. Madiah Esquire, Rao Bahadur,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire, Rao Bahadur,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the records regarding the conduct of Mohamed Khassim, late Police Daffedar of the Bowringpet Taluk, as called for in your docket No. 2856/J 266 of the 28th August 1902 and to state that the circumstances under which his resignation was accepted by the Police Superintendent, Kolar Gold Fields, have already been reported by me in my letter No: 657/46

of

of the 20th August 1902. I shall therefore briefly touch upon some of the allegations made by the petitioner

Para (1) in the petition regarding the appellants transfer from Kanagamudram.

It will be seen from the report of Inspector Ball dated the 27th November 1901 and the Deputy Commissioner's order dated 8:12:01 that the Daffedar's conduct at Kanagamudram was far from satisfactory and that there were strong grounds to suspect of his having confined three persons to extort something from them. Hence his transfer to the Ghat Tree station.

Paras (2) and (3) regarding the grant of the Gold Field Allowance.

While working at the Ghat Tree Station, the petitioner applied twice to the Police Superintendent for the grant of the Gold Fields Allowance, but was told that he had no claim

for

for it and if he was not satisfied with his appointment, he might resign and his resignation would be willingly accepted.

Paras (4), (5), (6) regarding his reduction and transfer, from the Judgment of the Special Magistrate, Kolar Gold Fields, in case No: 497 on his file, and the minute drawn up by the Police Superintendent, it will be seen, that the Duffedar was guilty of neglect of duty, and was therefore reduced to the post of a 1st Class Constable. The Deputy Commissioner to whom the petitioner appealed confirmed the order.

The question regarding the grant of leave to the appellant has already been explained by me in paras 5 and 6 of my previous letter No: 659/46 dated 20th August 1902.

The appellant's conduct has been throughout far from satisfactory, and

and he has entirely lost the confidence of his Official Superiors. Hence, as remarked in my previous letter, I am not prepared to recommend a re-consideration of his case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

F Mundle

Inspector General of Police.

1901

fair draft

J 1349

16

SECTION Police

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ. FILE No. 202/70

ಆರ್ಜಿದಾರ [illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible] ಇರುವ [illegible] ಇಂತಾರನ ಪುಕುಂ

ನೀನು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ [illegible] ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ [illegible]

ಬತವೀಜು ನೇ ವತಪೆ 3/3/03.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ನೇ 189 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದರಜಾಗಾ

By Order,

3.3.03

Secretary.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 202

SERIAL No. 6

11

To.

The Inspector General of Police

Dated 26/3/03

No J 1578

Pol I & Records.

With reference to his letter No 407/246 dated 21st January 1903, has the honour to forward herewith a copy of endorsement No J 1349 dated 2nd March 1903, granted to the Petitioner Muhammad Kasim late Police Duffedar of the Bowringpet Taluk.

2. The entire records received with

Typed. 27/3/03

on the hes letter quoted above
are herewith returned

Yours

20/8/13

Secretary